

NOTE 2.7 TRADE AND OTHER PAYABLES

	2020	2019
	\$m	\$m
A. COMPOSITION		
I. Reinsurance premium payable⁽¹⁾	1,110	1,213
II. Trade creditors⁽²⁾		
Commissions payable	243	269
Stamp duty payable	135	132
GST payable on premium receivable	185	182
Other	<u>360</u>	<u>398</u>
	923	981
III. Other payables⁽²⁾		
Other creditors and accruals	676	437
Investment creditors	89	45
Interest payable on interest-bearing liabilities	<u>2</u>	<u>4</u>
	767	486
	2,800	2,680

(1) IAG has a right of offset and settles on a net basis under the 20% quota share agreement with National Indemnity Company, a Berkshire Hathaway (BH) company, and under the combined 12.5% quota share agreements with Munich Re, Swiss Re and Hannover Re. This balance includes reinsurance premium payable to BH of \$1,191 million (2019: \$1,202 million) and the combined 12.5% quota share agreement counterparties of \$757 million (2019: \$756 million), which have been offset with receivables due from BH of \$775 million (2019: \$694 million) and the combined 12.5% quota share agreement counterparties of \$420 million (2019: \$375 million), respectively. The relevant cash flows pertaining to the contracts have been presented on a gross basis within the cash flow statement.

(2) Trade and other payables are unsecured, non-interest bearing and are normally settled within 30 days to 12 months. Amounts have not been discounted because the time value of money effect is not material. The carrying amount of payables is a reasonable approximation of the fair value of the liabilities because of the short-term nature of the liabilities.

B. RECOGNITION AND MEASUREMENT

Trade and other payables are stated at the fair value of the consideration to be paid in the future for goods and services received, inclusive of GST. The amounts are discounted where the time value of money effect is material.

3. RISK

SECTION INTRODUCTION

This section provides an overview of IAG's approach to risk and capital management.

IAG is exposed to multiple risks relating to the conduct of its business. IAG does not seek to avoid all risks, but rather to assess them in a systematic, structured and timely manner against IAG's Risk Appetite Statement, delegations, authorities and limits, and seeks to manage them appropriately in alignment with IAG's strategy. Risk management arrangements are designed to reflect the scope, scale and complexity of IAG's activities, and where appropriate, capital is held to support these activities.

IAG uses an enterprise-wide approach to risk that includes the following risk categories:

- Strategic
- Customer
- Insurance
- Reinsurance
- Market
- Credit
- Liquidity
- Capital
- Operational
- Regulatory and compliance
- Culture and conduct

The risk categories, their definition and structured arrangements for their management are included in IAG's Risk Management Strategy (RMS). Risks rarely exist, nor should be considered, in isolation. The interconnectivity of IAG's material risks is understood and managed. Key risks and their potential impact, likelihood, interconnectedness and velocity are considered in IAG's Enterprise Risk Profile (ERP).

NOTE 3.1 RISK AND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT

A. RISK MANAGEMENT OVERVIEW

The Board has responsibility for setting the risk appetite within which it expects management to operate and approves IAG's Risk Appetite Statement and Risk Management Strategy. The Risk Committee assists the Board to discharge its risk management and compliance responsibilities, oversight of risk management, oversight of the implementation and operation of the Group's risk management and governance frameworks and provides advice to the Executives and Board. The Risk Committee also monitors the effectiveness of the Risk Management function. The Group Chief Risk Officer (CRO) oversees risk management practices across IAG. The Group CRO is supported by the Group Risk Function and by other subject matter experts including the Chief Actuary, Chief Underwriting Officer and EGM Capital Markets. The Group CRO provides regular reports to the Risk Committee on the operation of the Risk Management Framework (RMF), the status of material risks, risk and compliance incidents and risk framework changes.

The RMF is in place to assist the Board and senior executive management in managing risk. The RMF is the totality of systems, structures, policies, processes and people within IAG that identify, measure, evaluate, monitor, report and control or mitigate all internal and external sources of material risk. The RMF supports management by:

- providing a consistent, structured approach to identifying and managing risk across the Group;
- having appropriate policies, procedures and controls in place to effectively manage risks;
- providing meaningful reporting to the Board to make informed business decisions;
- ensuring adequate oversight of the risk profile; and
- facilitating a strong risk culture.

IAG's documented RMS describes the group-wide RMF and how it is implemented, including risk appetite (i.e. the levels, boundaries and nature of risk the organisation is willing to accept), the risk categories used, the major risk management processes, and the roles and responsibilities for managing risk. The RMS is a Board-approved document which directly supports the Group's strategic intent, purpose, values and business sustainability activities. IAG uses Group policies and other supporting documents to help ensure the risk management requirements are clear across IAG. The RMS must be adhered to, along with the legal, regulatory and prudential requirements in all countries in which the organisation has operations.

Other key documents within IAG's RMF include:

- Reinsurance Management Strategy (ReMS), which describes the systems, structures, and processes which collectively ensure IAG's reinsurance arrangements and operations are prudently managed;
- Group Risk Appetite Statement (RAS), which articulates the levels, boundaries and nature of risk the Board is willing to accept in pursuit of IAG's strategic objectives; and
- Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Process (ICAAP) Summary Statement, which summarises IAG's risk assessment processes for capital management and describes the strategy for maintaining adequate capital over time.

The definitions of the risk categories and related mitigation strategies are set out in the subsequent sections.

Other key documents within IAG, include:

- Group Crisis Management Plan which minimises business impact and loss in the event of a significant incident by providing a clear and organised response strategy supported by pre-defined response procedures; and
- a Recovery Plan, which provides guidance on how IAG might be restored to a sound financial condition following severe financial stress.

COVID-19

The financial and social impacts of COVID-19 continue to emerge and will further develop over the coming year. Their extent and duration are difficult to forecast and remain dependent on many factors. These include the extent to which the virus persists, the efficacy of government and central bank responses (both locally and globally) and the impact prolonged uncertainty has on consumer and business sentiment.

The immediate impacts on IAG have been from market volatility creating lower investment returns, and influencing capital management activities, increases in insurance liabilities across impacted classes of business, the acute effect on the financial position of some of our customers and the adaptation in working practises amongst our employees, business partners and suppliers. As the impacts of the virus and associated responses evolve, so too will the associated risks and IAG is committed to appropriately managing those risks at all levels.

Some of the key specific risks and IAG's response to them are as follows:

- Capital and market risk – At 30 June 2020, IAG had a CET1 multiple of 1.23 (2019: 1.31) and a PCA multiple of 1.97 (2019: 2.12). COVID-19 has given rise to increased levels of market volatility and credit risk (both in the investment portfolio and with our customers and suppliers) that has required a more active capital monitoring approach. Initiatives to achieve this include more frequent assessments of capital adequacy to account for larger, and more rapid, investment market movements and further capital stress testing against COVID-19 related risks. Capital levels will continue to be very closely monitored.
- Regulatory risk – Regulators have been closely engaged on IAG's response to COVID-19. Sector-wide regulatory engagement has also increased, particularly around operational resilience, capital management and dividend policy, and customer impacts. IAG is engaging with its regulators regularly and will continue its aligned and proactive approach to supporting customers, business resilience and continuity measures.
- Customer risk – IAG understands the difficulties many of our customers are facing during this time and the risks this presents to our business. Consequently, a range of support measures have been made available to our personal and small business customers who are experiencing genuine need, including:
 - access to premium reductions;
 - deferred premiums for small business customers;
 - repayment frequency adjustments;
 - reduced excess amounts; and
 - waiving of certain fees and a relaxed cancellation policy.

These support measures are temporary in nature, but will be reassessed as needed. Additional customer contact centre resources have also been made available to manage the increased number of customers requiring assistance.

- Insurance risk – Refer to the disclosures provided in Note 1.2.
- Operational risk – The rapid migration of the vast majority of IAG employees from an office-based work environment to working from home has heightened some risks. These include technology and cyber-related risk as well as fraud and employee health and wellbeing. While a level of heightened risk in these areas is inevitable in these times, the attendant risks are well understood and policies are in place to manage and mitigate them.

B. STRATEGIC RISK

Strategic risk is defined as the risk that internal or external factors compromise our ability to execute our strategic objectives or our strategy.

Strategic risk is managed by the Group Leadership Team with Board oversight. Key elements that support the management of strategic risk include a rigorous approach to identifying and evaluating key strategic risks and having this process integrated with the Group's strategic planning program, with Management and Board reporting forming part of our ongoing monitoring mechanisms. IAG implements active portfolio management of its insurance operations. This involves robust and regular review of the portfolios that leads to informed decisions on the allocation of assets in the most efficient and value-accretive way in order to achieve IAG's strategic objectives. Consideration of both current and future value is critical in the process. Portfolio management can involve the acquisition or divestment of other entities, for which IAG has implemented a merger and acquisitions framework to help ensure the associated risks are appropriately managed. Strategic risk mitigation is further enhanced by the accountabilities of the Strategy and Innovation function. This function ensures IAG is accessing data-driven customer insights and reacting to such through the innovation of products and services.

C. CUSTOMER RISK

Customer risk is defined as the risk of failing to meet customer expectations leading to lower customer satisfaction, retention rates and new business opportunities.

IAG is committed to delivering positive customer experiences and value through the provision of products and services suited to our customers' needs.

IAG manages customer risk by applying a customer lens to all processes and maintaining our customers at the heart of everything we do. Dedicated forums such as the Consumer Advisory Board and the Group Customer Conduct Council are designed to capture, analyse and use customer feedback to enhance our products, services and propositions.

D. INSURANCE RISK

Insurance risk is the risk of loss as a result of:

- inadequate or inappropriate underwriting or product pricing;
- unforeseen, unknown or unintended liabilities that may eventuate;
- inadequate or inappropriate claims management including reserving; and
- insurance concentration risk (i.e. by locality, segment factor, or distribution).

A fundamental part of IAG's overall risk management approach is the effective governance and management of the risks that affect the amount, timing and certainty of cash flows arising from insurance contracts. The level of insurance risk accepted by IAG is formally documented in its Business Division Licences, which are issued to each operating division. The Business Division Licence is prepared by the Group Chief Underwriting Officer in consultation with the customer-facing divisions and is approved by the Group CEO. The Business Division Licences are reviewed annually or more frequently if required. In addition to Business Division Licences, insurance risk is also managed through the implementation of the Insurance Risk Framework and supporting Insurance Risk Principles.

I. Acceptance and pricing of risk

IAG focusses on the sustainability of its underwriting risk profile, rather than a premium volume or market share oriented approach. IAG believes this approach provides the greatest long-term likelihood of being able to meet the objectives of all stakeholders, including policyholders, regulators and shareholders. Underwriting and pricing expertise, coupled with data and analytics capability, allow IAG to underwrite policies in the context of its risk appetite.

The underwriting by IAG of large numbers of less than fully correlated individual risks, predominantly short-tail business, across a range of classes of insurance business in different regions reduces the variability in overall claims experience over time. A risk still remains that the actual amount of claims paid is different to the amount estimated at the time an insurance product was designed and priced. IAG's claims management and provisioning, reinsurance and capital management further mitigate the impact of this risk.

As referenced above, business divisions are required to underwrite within set criteria as outlined in the Business Division Licence. Maximum limits are set for the acceptance of risk both on an individual insurance contract basis and for classes of business and specific risk groupings.

Management information systems are maintained to provide up-to-date, reliable data on the risks to which the business is exposed at any point in time. Statistical models that combine historical and projected data (pricing, claims and market conditions) are used to calculate premiums and monitor claim patterns for each class of business.

II. Claims management and provisioning

Once an incident has occurred, initial claim estimates are managed by claims officers with the requisite degree of experience and competence with the assistance, where appropriate, of a loss adjustor or other party with specialist knowledge of specific incidents. These case estimates are used to form part of the basis of the claim provisions. It is IAG's intention to respond to and settle all valid claims quickly whenever possible and to pay claims fairly, based on policyholders' full entitlements.

Claim provisions are established using actuarial valuation models, including a risk margin to cover inherent uncertainty in the ultimate cost of claims, to ensure adequate capital is allocated to settle claims that have occurred. Refer to Note 2.2 for further details.

III. Concentrations of insurance risk

Each year IAG sets its tolerance for concentration risk through the use of various models to estimate its maximum exposure to potential natural disasters and other catastrophes. IAG mitigates its exposure to concentrations of insurance risk by holding a portfolio diversified into many classes of business across different regions and by the utilisation of reinsurance, taking into account the cost of reinsurance and capital efficiency. The reinsurance cover limits IAG's financial exposure to a single event with a given probability, and also protects capital. The catastrophe reinsurance cover purchased affects the Insurance Concentration Risk Charge (ICRC) in the APRA capital calculation.

Concentration risk is particularly relevant in the case of catastrophes, usually natural disasters including earthquakes, bushfires, hailstorms, tropical storms and high winds, which generally result in a concentration of policyholders being impacted by the same event. This aggregation of multiple claims arising from a single event creates the most material insurance loss potential in the Group. IAG is also exposed to certain large man-made catastrophic events such as industrial accidents and building fires. Catastrophe losses are an inherent risk of the general insurance industry that contribute to potentially material year-to-year fluctuations in the results of operations and financial position. The nature and level of catastrophes in any period cannot be predicted accurately but can be estimated through the utilisation of predictive models. IAG actively monitors its aggregate exposure to catastrophe losses in all regions and limits exposure in regions that are subject to high levels of natural perils. Specific processes for monitoring identified key concentrations are set out below:

RISK	SOURCE OF CONCENTRATION	RISK MANAGEMENT MEASURES
An accumulation of risks arising from a natural peril/catastrophe	Insured property concentrations	Accumulation risk modelling and reinsurance protection
A large property loss	Fire or accident affecting one building or a group of adjacent buildings	Maximum per risk acceptance limits, property risk grading and reinsurance protection
Multiple liability retentions being involved in the same event	Response by a multitude of policies to the one event	Purchase of reinsurance clash protection

The table below provide an analysis of gross written premium from continuing operations by both region and product, which demonstrates the diversity of IAG's operations and its relatively limited exposure to additional risks associated with long-tail classes of business (where there is increased uncertainty of the ultimate cost of claims due to the additional period of time to settlement):

GROSS WRITTEN PREMIUM ANALYSIS	2020 %	2019 %
a. REGION		
Australia	77	78
New Zealand	23	22
	<u>100</u>	<u>100</u>
b. PRODUCT		
Motor	33	32
Home	29	29
Short-tail commercial	22	22
Compulsory Third Party (motor liability)	6	7
Liability	6	6
Workers' compensation	3	3
Other short-tail	1	1
	<u>100</u>	<u>100</u>

E. REINSURANCE RISK

Reinsurance risk is the risk of:

- lack of capacity in the reinsurance market;
- insufficient or inappropriate reinsurance coverage;
- inadequate underwriting and/or pricing of reinsurance exposures retained by IAG's reinsurance captives;
- inadequate or inappropriate reinsurance recovery management;
- reinsurance arrangements not being legally binding; and
- reinsurance concentration.

IAG's reinsurance program is an important part of its overall approach to risk and capital management. It is used to limit exposure to large single claims as well as an accumulation of claims that arise from the same or similar events in order to stabilise earnings and protect capital resources. The Reinsurance Management Strategy outlines IAG's reinsurance principles, including the requirement that reinsurance retention for catastrophe must not exceed 4% of gross earned premium.

In practice IAG purchases catastrophe reinsurance protection to at least the greater of:

- APRA's prescribed minimum approach of 1-in-200 year return period loss calculated on a whole-of-portfolio, all perils basis in Australia;
- a 1-in-250 year return period for earthquake loss calculated on a whole-of-portfolio, earthquake only basis in Australia; and
- a 1-in-1000 year return period for earthquake loss calculated on a whole-of-portfolio, earthquake only basis in New Zealand.

Catastrophe model output is not the sole determinant of the amount of reinsurance purchased. Other factors such as loss experience, anticipated portfolio changes and the market pricing of reinsurance are also considered.

Dynamic financial analysis modelling is used to determine the optimal level at which reinsurance should be purchased for capital efficiency, compared with the cost and benefits of covers available in the reinsurance market.

The amount of reinsurance purchased is determined by reference to the modelled Probable Maximum Loss (PML). Natural perils are inherently uncertain, which presents model risk. As a result, the loss from an actual event could exceed the modelled PML.

To facilitate the reinsurance process, manage counterparty exposure and create economies of scale, IAG has established a centralised reinsurance model across its operations. This is via captive reinsurance entities in Singapore and Labuan, Malaysia and a reinsurance department (or virtual captive) in Australia, collectively referred to as IAG Reinsurance. IAG Reinsurance acts as the interface between the external providers of reinsurance capital and the operating business divisions.

The use of reinsurance introduces credit risk. The management of credit risk includes the monitoring of reinsurers' credit ratings and controlling total exposures to limit counterparty default risk which is further explained in the financial risk section. IAG adopts a sound underwriting approach to the reinsurance program through the expertise provided by IAG Reinsurance. Retained exposures sit within the Board risk appetite and appropriate capital is maintained.

I. Current reinsurance program

The external reinsurance program consists of a combination of the following reinsurance arrangements:

- 32.5% whole-of-account quota share arrangements;
- a Group catastrophe reinsurance protection that runs to a calendar year and operates on an excess of loss basis, with IAG retaining the first \$250 million (\$169 million post-quota share) of each loss. It covers all territories in which IAG operates. The limit of catastrophe cover purchased effective 1 January 2020 was \$9.75 billion placed to 67.5% (i.e. net of the whole-of-account quota share). Should a loss event occur that is greater than \$10 billion, IAG could potentially incur a net loss greater than the retention stated above. IAG holds capital to mitigate the impact of this possibility;
- aggregate sideways covers that protect against a frequency of attritional event losses in Australia, New Zealand and Asia, and operates below the Group catastrophe cover;
- excess of loss reinsurances which provide 'per risk' protection for retained exposures of the commercial property and engineering businesses in Australia, New Zealand and Asia;
- excess of loss reinsurance for all casualty portfolios including Compulsory Third Party (CTP), public liability, professional indemnity directors and officers, workers' compensation and home owners warranty products;
- quota share reinsurance protection for cyber;
- excess of loss reinsurance for all marine portfolios;
- excess of loss reinsurance cover (stop loss) for retained natural peril losses;
- quota share and stop loss reinsurance for crop;
- adverse development cover (ADC) and quota share protection for the CTP portfolio;
- ADC for the February 2011 Canterbury earthquake event; and
- ADC for policies issued prior to 31 December 2015 covering IAG's exposure to claims arising from legacy general liability and/or workers' compensation/employer's liability policies, primarily related to asbestos.

F. MARKET, CREDIT, LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RISK

Key aspects of the processes established by IAG to monitor and mitigate these risks include:

- reporting to the Board Risk and Audit Committees with Non-Executive Directors as members;
- the Group Leadership Team Risk Committee comprising of all Group Executives;
- the Asset and Liability Committee (ALCo) comprising key Executives with relevant oversight responsibilities;
- value-at-risk analysis and position limits which are regularly monitored;
- monthly stress testing which is undertaken to estimate the impact of adverse market movements;
- maintenance of an approved Group Credit Risk Policy, Group Liquidity Policy, Group Foreign Exchange Policy and Group Investment Policy;
- Board-approved Strategic Asset Allocation setting out the overall structure of the investment strategy – asset classes, ranges on asset class exposures and broad limits on active management such as duration limits;
- capital management activities – for further details refer to the capital management section (IV) of this note; and
- implementation of a Derivatives Risk Management Statement that considers the controls in the use of derivatives and sets out the permissible use of derivatives in relation to investment strategies.

I. Market risk

Market risk is defined as the risk of adverse movements in market prices (equities, derivatives, interest rates, foreign exchange, etc) or inappropriate concentration within the investment funds.

a. FOREIGN EXCHANGE RISK

IAG operates internationally and is exposed to foreign exchange risk from various activities conducted in the normal course of business. Foreign exchange exposure is managed by the IAG Capital Markets function.

The key foreign exchange risk exposures arise from the fluctuation in spot exchange rates between the items denominated in currency other than the Group's functional currency (Australian dollar), which causes the amount of the items to vary. Mitigation strategies are set out below:

EXPOSURE	RISK MANAGEMENT MEASURES
Net investment in foreign operations that have a functional currency other than the Australian dollar (translation of financial position recognised directly in equity and translation of financial performance recognised in profit or loss).	Designated hedging instruments – forward foreign exchange contracts (derivatives).
Interest-bearing liabilities denominated in currencies other than the Australian dollar.	Some designated as hedging instruments where the currency matches the functional currency of investments in foreign operations.
Insurance liabilities denominated in currencies other than the Australian dollar (directly recognised in profit or loss).	Some assets backing technical reserves are held in the same currency as the related insurance liabilities, mitigating any net foreign exchange exposure.
Investments denominated in currencies other than the Australian dollar (directly recognised in profit or loss).	Designated economic hedging instruments – forward foreign exchange contracts (derivatives).

When all relevant criteria are met, the designated hedging instruments noted above will effectively reduce the impact of foreign exchange gains and losses recorded in the foreign currency translation reserve during the period. The Group adopts a policy of targeting between 50% and 100% of the foreign exchange risk exposures associated with net investments in foreign operations (excluding intangible assets for consolidated entities) through designated hedging instruments. For the foreign exchange risk on its investment portfolio, the Group adopts a policy to target a 100% economic hedge.

The table below provides information regarding the impact on the measurement of net investments in foreign operations held at reporting date of an instantaneous 10% depreciation of the Australian dollar compared with selected currencies on equity, net of related derivatives. An appreciation of the Australian dollar would broadly have the opposite impact.

IMPACT OF 10% DEPRECIATION OF AUSTRALIAN DOLLAR	2020	2019
	\$m	\$m
	Impact directly to equity	Impact directly to equity
Net investments in foreign operations and related hedge arrangements		
New Zealand dollar	83	56
Malaysian ringgit	4	13
Other currencies where considered significant	-	8
	87	77

The sensitivity analysis demonstrates the effect of a change in one key assumption while other assumptions remain unchanged (isolated exchange rate movements).

b. PRICE RISK

IAG has exposure to equity price risk through its investments in equities (both directly and through certain trusts), debt/equity hybrids, hedge funds and the use of derivative contracts. The impact on the measurement of the investments held at reporting date of a change in broad equity markets by +10% or -10% on profit before tax, net of related derivatives, is shown in the table below:

IMPACT OF CHANGE IN EQUITY VALUE		2020	2019
		\$m	\$m
		Impact to profit	Impact to profit
Investments – equity, debt/equity hybrids and trust securities and related equity derivatives	+10%	41	90
	-10%	(40)	(88)

Investments in equities, debt/equity hybrids, trust securities and related equity derivatives are all measured at fair value through profit or loss. There is no direct impact of a change in market prices on equity.

c. INTEREST RATE RISK

Fixed interest rate assets and liabilities are exposed to changes in market value derived from mark-to-market revaluations. Financial assets and liabilities with floating interest rates create cash flow variability.

IAG's interest rate risk arises primarily from fluctuations in the valuation of investments in fixed interest-bearing securities recognised at fair value and from the underwriting of general insurance contracts, which creates exposure to the risk that interest rate movements materially impact the fair value of the insurance liabilities (the insurance liabilities are discounted with reference to the government yields). Movements in interest rates should have minimal impact on the insurance profit or loss due to IAG's policy of investing in assets backing insurance liabilities principally in fixed interest securities that are closely matched to the duration of the insurance liabilities (period to settlement). Therefore, movements in the fair value measurement of the assets broadly offset the impact of movements in the insurance liabilities from changes in interest rates.

The impact on the measurement of investments in fixed interest-bearing securities held at reporting date of a change in interest rates by +1% or -1% on profit before tax, net of related derivatives, is shown in the following table. The sensitivity analysis provided demonstrates the effect of a change in interest rates only, whilst other assumptions remain unchanged. As investments in fixed interest-bearing securities are measured at fair value through profit or loss, there is no direct impact from an interest rate change on equity.

IMPACT OF CHANGE IN INTEREST RATES		2020	2019
		\$m	\$m
		Impact to profit	Impact to profit
Investments – interest-bearing securities and related interest rate derivatives	+1%	(144)	(133)
	-1%	150	141

Refer to Note 2.2 for details of the impact on the net outstanding claims liabilities before income tax to changes in key actuarial assumptions, including movements in discount rates.

II. Credit risk

Credit risk is defined as the risk arising from a counterparty's failure to meet its obligations in accordance with agreed terms. These include investment and derivative counterparties, reinsurers and premium debtors.

Concentrations of credit risk exist where a number of counterparties have similar economic characteristics. IAG's credit risk arises predominantly from investment activities, reinsurance activities, premium debtors, over-the-counter derivatives (currency forwards) and dealings with other intermediaries. IAG maintains a credit risk appetite, which is approved by the Board, and a Group Credit Risk Policy that is consistent with the Board's risk appetite. The policy outlines the framework and procedures in place to ensure an adequate and appropriate level of monitoring and management of credit quality throughout IAG, with the Capital Markets function responsible for implementation. IAG maintains sufficiently diverse credit exposures which also assists in avoiding a concentration charge being added to the regulatory capital requirement.

For the in-scope receivable balances, maximum exposure to credit risk is considered on initial measurement of the asset, where lifetime expected credit losses are taken into account and provided for where required. Refer to Note 2.6 for further details.

a. INVESTMENTS

IAG is exposed to credit risk from investments in third parties, for example debt or similar securities issued by those companies. The maximum exposure to credit risk loss as at reporting date is the carrying amount of the investments on the balance sheet as they are measured at fair value. At the reporting date, there are material concentrations of credit risk to the banking sector, in particular the four major Australian banks. The credit risk relating to investments is regularly monitored and assessed, with maximum exposures limited by reference to credit rating and counterparty. Sovereign securities denominated in the functional currency are considered risk free and are unconstrained. The assets backing insurance liabilities of \$5,789 million (2019: \$5,950 million) include predominantly high credit quality investments, such as government securities and other investment grade securities, which reduce the risk of default.

The following table provides information regarding the credit risk relating to the interest-bearing investments based on Standard & Poor's counterparty credit ratings, which demonstrates the very strong overall credit quality of IAG's investment book:

CREDIT RATING OF INTEREST-BEARING INVESTMENTS	2020	2019
	\$m	\$m
AAA	3,924	3,288
AA	3,880	3,626
A	108	250
BBB	490	886
Below BBB and unrated	542	654
	<u>8,944</u>	<u>8,704</u>

b. REINSURANCE RECOVERIES ON PAID CLAIMS

Reinsurance arrangements mitigate insurance risk but expose IAG to credit risk. Reinsurance is placed with counterparties (primarily reinsurance companies) based on an evaluation of their financial strength, terms of coverage and price. At the reporting date, there are material concentrations of credit risk in relation to reinsurance recoverables, in particular to large global reinsurers. IAG has clearly defined policies for the approval and management of credit risk in relation to reinsurers. IAG monitors the financial condition of its reinsurers on an ongoing basis and periodically reviews the reinsurers' ability to fulfil their obligations under respective existing and future reinsurance contracts. Some of the reinsurers are domiciled outside the jurisdictions in which IAG operates, so there is the potential for additional risk such as country risk and transfer risk.

It is IAG policy to only deal with reinsurers with credit ratings of at least Standard & Poor's BBB+ (or other rating agency equivalent) without collateralisation, other than a mandatory placement to meet local regulatory requirements. Where the credit rating of a reinsurer falls below the required quality during the period of risk a contractual right to replace the counterparty exists. Some of the reinsurance protection is purchased on a 'collateralised' basis, where counterparties either deposit funds equivalent to their participation (trust or loss deposits) or provide other forms of collateral (letters of credit).

The following table provides IAG's exposure to reinsurance recoveries receivable on the outstanding claims balance, excluding other recoveries, by counterparty credit rating (Standard & Poor's) and the secured collateral:

CREDIT RATING OF REINSURANCE RECOVERIES ON OUTSTANDING CLAIMS	2020		2019	
	\$m	% of total	\$m	% of total
AA	4,439	91	4,081	90
A	458	9	470	10
BBB and below	5	-	6	-
Total	<u>4,902</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>4,557</u>	<u>100</u>

Of these, approximately \$1,891 million (2019: \$1,128 million) is secured directly as follows, reducing the credit risk:

- deposits held in trust: \$435 million (2019: \$82 million);
- letters of credit: \$1,456 million (2019: \$1,045 million); and
- loss deposits: nil (2019: \$1 million).

An ageing analysis for reinsurance recoveries on paid claims is provided below:

	NOT OVERDUE		OVERDUE		TOTAL
	\$m	<30 days \$m	30-120 days \$m	>120 days \$m	
2020					
Reinsurance recoveries on paid claims	<u>250</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>275</u>
2019					
Reinsurance recoveries on paid claims	<u>76</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>82</u>

c. PREMIUM RECEIVABLE

The majority of the premium receivable balance relates to policies which are paid on a monthly instalment basis. The late payment of amounts due under such arrangements allows for the cancellation of the related insurance contract eliminating both the credit risk and insurance risk for the unpaid amounts. Upon cancellation of a policy the outstanding premium receivable and revenue is reversed. IAG is also exposed to the credit risk associated with brokers and other intermediaries when premium is collected via these intermediaries. IAG's exposure is regularly monitored by ALCo with reference to aggregated exposure, credit rating, internal credit limits and ageing of receivables by counterparty. Ageing analysis for premium receivable is provided below, with amounts aged according to their original due date, demonstrating IAG's limited exposure:

	NOT OVERDUE		OVERDUE		TOTAL
	\$m	<30 days \$m	30-120 days \$m	>120 days \$m	
2020					
Premium receivable	3,160	265	300	38	3,763
Provision for impairment	<u>(5)</u>	<u>(3)</u>	<u>(10)</u>	<u>(26)</u>	<u>(44)</u>
	<u>3,155</u>	<u>262</u>	<u>290</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>3,719</u>
2019					
Premium receivable	3,152	276	295	61	3,784
Provision for impairment	<u>(3)</u>	<u>(3)</u>	<u>(8)</u>	<u>(23)</u>	<u>(37)</u>
	<u>3,149</u>	<u>273</u>	<u>287</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>3,747</u>

III. Liquidity risk

Liquidity risk arises where liabilities cannot be met as they fall due as a result of insufficient funds and/or illiquid asset portfolios. IAG's liquidity position is derived from operating cash flows, access to liquidity through related bodies corporate and interest-bearing liabilities (with some denominated in different currencies and with different maturities). IAG complies with its liquidity risk management practices, which include a Group policy, and has the framework and procedures in place to ensure an adequate and appropriate level of monitoring and management of liquidity.

a. OUTSTANDING CLAIMS LIABILITY AND INVESTMENTS

Underwriting insurance contracts exposes IAG to liquidity risk through the obligation to make payment for claims of unknown amounts on unknown dates. The assets backing insurance liabilities can generally be readily sold or exchanged for cash to settle claims and are managed in accordance with the policy of broadly matching the overall maturity profile to the estimated pattern of claim payments.

A maturity analysis is provided below of the estimated net discounted outstanding claims liability (based on the remaining term to payment at the reporting date) and the investments that have a fixed term (provided by expected maturity). The timing of future claim payments is inherently uncertain. Actual maturities may differ from expected maturities because certain counterparties have the right to call or prepay certain obligations with or without penalties.

MATURITY ANALYSIS	NET DISCOUNTED OUTSTANDING CLAIMS LIABILITY		INVESTMENTS	
	2020	2019	2020	2019
	\$m	\$m	\$m	\$m
At call	-	-	2,273	1,399
Within 1 year or less	2,284	2,212	1,035	1,073
Within 1 to 2 years	754	726	1,036	165
Within 2 to 5 years	1,047	1,111	1,951	2,460
Over 5 years	430	468	2,649	3,607
Total	4,515	4,517	8,944	8,704

b. INTEREST-BEARING LIABILITIES

The following table provides information about the residual maturity periods of the interest-bearing liabilities of a capital nature based on the contractual maturity dates of cash flows:

	CARRYING VALUE	MATURITY DATES OF CONTRACTUAL UNDISCOUNTED CASH FLOWS					Total
		Within 1 year	1 - 2 years	2 - 5 years	Over 5 years	Perpetual	
	\$m	\$m	\$m	\$m	\$m	\$m	\$m
2020							
Principal repayments ⁽¹⁾	1,531	-	-	-	1,127	404	1,531
Contractual interest payments ⁽¹⁾		55	55	165	-	-	275
Total contractual undiscounted payments		55	55	165	1,127	404	1,806
2019							
Principal repayments ⁽¹⁾	2,089	-	-	-	1,135	954	2,089
Contractual interest payments ⁽¹⁾		83	83	249	-	-	415
Total contractual undiscounted payments		83	83	249	1,135	954	2,504

(1) All of the liabilities have call, reset or conversion dates which occur prior to any contractual maturity. Detailed descriptions of the instruments are provided in Note 4.1. The contractual interest payments are undiscounted and calculated based on underlying fixed interest rates or prevailing market floating rates as applicable at the reporting date. Interest payments have not been included beyond five years.

IV. Capital risk

Capital risk is defined as the risk that capital is insufficient or not of the best form (the mix of debt, equity and reinsurance is inappropriate) given the nature, strategies and objectives of the Group. IAG's capital management strategy plays a central role in managing risk to create shareholder value whilst meeting the objective of maintaining an appropriate level of capital to protect policyholders' and lenders' interests, and meet regulatory requirements.

IAG has a documented description of the capital management process (Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Process (ICAAP)) and reports annually on its operation to the Board, together with a forward-looking estimate of expected capital utilisation (as represented in IAG's Capital Plan) and capital resilience (ICAAP Annual Report). The adequacy of IAG's capital position is judged relative to the Board's Capital RAS, with an Internal Capital Model (ICM) used to assess the risks of breaching the minimum levels established in the Capital RAS. Scenario analysis and stress testing are important adjuncts to the ICM. The amount of capital required varies according to a range of factors including the business underwritten, extent of reinsurance and investment asset allocation.

The target level of capitalisation (risk appetite) for IAG is assessed by consideration of factors including:

- the probability of insolvency over the next three years;
- the probability of falling below the APRA Prescribed Capital Amount (PCA) over the next three years;
- other stakeholder perspectives on capitalisation, including rating agency capital models and associated ratings; and
- domestic and international levels of capitalisation.

a. REGULATORY CAPITAL

All insurers within IAG that carry on insurance business in Australia are registered with APRA and are subject to APRA's Prudential Standards. It is IAG's policy to ensure that each of the licenced insurers in the Group maintains an adequate capital position.

IAG's long-term target capital ranges are set out below:

- a Common Equity Tier 1 capital of 0.9 to 1.1 times the PCA, compared to a regulatory requirement of a minimum of 0.6 times; and
- a total regulatory capital position equivalent to 1.6 to 1.8 times the PCA, compared to a regulatory requirement of a minimum of 1.0 times.

Internal policies are in place to ensure any significant deviations from the benchmarks are considered by the Board as to how any shortfall should be made good, or any surplus utilised or maintained.

IAG uses the standardised framework detailed in the relevant prudential standards (APRA Level 2 Insurance Group requirements) to calculate regulatory capital.

REGULATORY CAPITAL POSITION	2020	2019
	\$m	\$m
Common Equity Tier 1 capital (CET1 capital)	2,567	3,082
Additional Tier 1 capital	404	569
Total Tier 1 capital	2,971	3,651
Tier 2 capital	1,127	1,330
Total regulatory capital	4,098	4,981
Total PCA	2,082	2,354
PCA multiple	1.97	2.12
CET1 multiple	1.23	1.31

At 30 June 2020, IAG's Insurance Concentration Risk Charge from a catastrophe event was \$169 million (2019: \$169 million).

Consideration is given to the operational capital needs of the business. Targeting a capital multiple above the minimum regulatory requirement aims to ensure the ongoing strength and security of IAG, while suitably protecting policyholders and lenders.

IAG's capital objectives are achieved through dynamic management of the balance sheet and capital mix, the use of a risk-based capital adequacy framework that relies on explicit quantification of uncertainty or risk and the use of modelling techniques that provide the capacity to understand the risk/return trade-off as well as valuable inputs to the capital management process. The influences on capital, such as product mix, reinsurance program design, catastrophe exposure, investment strategy, profit margins and capital structure, are all assessed using dynamic financial analysis modelling.

An important influence on IAG's capital level is the payment of dividends. IAG targets a dividend payout ratio, measured as a proportion of cash earnings, within a range approved by the Board (refer to Note 4.4).

b. CAPITAL COMPOSITION

The consolidated balance sheet capital mix at reporting date is shown in the table below:

CAPITAL MIX	TARGET	2020	2019
	%	%	%
Ordinary equity less goodwill and intangible assets	60-70	67.8	63.5
Interest-bearing liabilities – hybrid securities and debt	30-40	32.2	36.5
Total capitalisation		100.0	100.0

G. OPERATIONAL RISK

Operational risk is defined as the risk of loss resulting from the actions or behaviours of people, inadequate or failed processes and systems or from external events.

When controls fail, an operational risk incident can cause injury, damage to reputation, have legal or regulatory implications or can lead to financial loss. IAG manages these risks by initiating an appropriate control framework and by monitoring and managing potential risks. The Risk Committee is responsible for oversight of the operational risk framework and approval of the Operational Risk Management Policy, and any changes to it. The Board and Group Leadership Team believe an effective, documented and structured approach to operational risk is a key part of the broader RMF that is outlined in IAG's RMS.

The operational risk framework and supporting Operational Risk Policy and procedures aim to ensure that consistent governance mechanisms and practices are in place, and that activities undertaken which involve operational risk are assessed and managed with appropriate regard to the Group's RAS and the achievement of IAG's objectives. The operational risk framework is supported by aligned frameworks, policies, standards and procedures for key aspects of operational risk. For example, fraud and business continuity frameworks and policies and procedures are in place, as are various other operational risk policies.

Management and staff are responsible for identifying, assessing and managing operational risks in accordance with their roles and responsibilities.

H. REGULATORY AND COMPLIANCE RISK

Regulatory and Compliance Risk is defined as the risk of legal, regulatory or reputational impacts arising from failure to manage compliance obligations, or failure to anticipate and prepare for changes in the regulatory environment. IAG engages with regulators and regularly monitors developments in regulatory requirements to support ongoing compliance. In recent times, the Group has observed an increase in the frequency and scale of regulatory reviews, particularly in relation to financial services entities in Australia and New Zealand.

IAG remains focused on implementing the Australian Government's legislative change agenda flowing from the Final Report of the Royal Commission into Misconduct in the Banking, Superannuation and Financial Services Industry (Royal Commission).

I. CULTURE AND CONDUCT RISK

Culture and conduct risk is defined as the risk that employee behaviours are contrary to our Purpose, our Spirit or our Code of Ethics and Conduct or do not otherwise meet reasonable community expectations.

IAG's strategic objective is to be a customer centric organisation underpinned by ethical and sustainable decision-making. IAG recognises that the mindsets and behaviours of our people will help achieve our objectives and that a culture clearly aligned with our desired outcomes is fundamental to our success in building a stronger future for IAG and its stakeholders.

IAG continues to be committed to building and maintaining a strong risk culture. IAG is focused on aligning risk culture, organisational culture and conduct risk and underpinning this with the embedment of Group-wide accountability, reward and recognition programs. Both organisational and risk culture are measured, monitored and reported regularly to the GLT Risk Committee, People and Remuneration Committee and Board Risk Committee respectively. Risk specific behaviours are aligned to IAG's Code of Conduct and Ethics and the Group continues to strengthen its articulation of risk behaviours.